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WILLIAM H. Seward, Secretary of State.

DEBATE ON THE MISSOURI BILL.

Mr. Taylor resumed at 2 o'clock on the Missouri Bill, having been 41 days in consideration of it. Mr. Taylor said, it seemed to him, that the Missouri Bill, as it stood, and as it was proposed to the House, had been subject to all the suggestions of which it was capable of being subject. The Missouri Bill had been under consideration of both Houses of Congress for many months, and had been the subject of much debate and discussion. The Missouri Bill was a question of great importance to the people of the United States, and deserved the most careful attention of the Legislature. It was a question of great consequence to the Union, and, if properly adjusted, would be a great blessing to the people of the United States.

The Missouri Bill was a question of great importance to the people of the United States, and deserved the most careful attention of the Legislature. It was a question of great consequence to the Union, and, if properly adjusted, would be a great blessing to the people of the United States.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the Missouri Bill, which had been referred to a committee of the whole House.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House of Representatives.

Mr. Clay spoke of the Committee on Naval Affairs, and said, that the committee had been instructed to report a bill for the better regulation of the Navy, and that the bill had been under consideration for some time.

The Speaker then read a letter from Mr. Clay, in which he expressed his opinion that the bill for the better regulation of the Navy was necessary, and that it should be passed without delay.

Mr. Clay's letter was read, and the House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.

The House adjourned to meet on Tuesday next.
WASHINGTON

CONGRESSIONAL PROCEEDINGS.

Mr. Hazelton moved to report the bill for changing the mode of paying the public debt, which was passed by the Senate, to the House, and the bill was passed by the House, to be engrossed for a third reading.

RECOGNITION.

Mr. Gough, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, for the relief of the Indians of the United States, submitted a bill which he had prepared for the purpose of providing a more adequate and permanent relief for the Indian tribes. The bill was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs for further consideration.

ROWLAND.

Mr. Rowland, from the Committee on Public Works, submitted a report on the construction of a new canal to be built across the Isthmus of Panama. The report was referred to the Committee on Public Works for further consideration.

FURTHER PROCEEDINGS.

Mr. Smith, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, submitted a report on the situation in Europe. The report was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations for further consideration.

THE HOUSE.

The House adjourned.

SUPREME COURT.

The case of Taylor against Adams, argued by Mr. Adams for the plaintiff, and by Mr. Smith for the defendant, was decided in favor of the defendant.

Mr. Adams's argument was a powerful one, and was received with great interest by the court. He argued that the defendant was entitled to the relief sought, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to the discovery sought.

Mr. Smith's argument was also strong, and was received with great interest by the court. He argued that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief sought, and that the defendant was not entitled to the discovery sought.

The court was divided in its decision, but the majority ruled in favor of the defendant. The decision was announced by Mr. Chief Justice Davis.

The case was argued by Mr. J.C. Young, counsel for the plaintiff, and by Mr. Brown, counsel for the defendant.

Mr. Young's argument was a strong one, and was received with great interest by the court. He argued that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief sought, and that the defendant was not entitled to the discovery sought.

Mr. Brown's argument was also strong, and was received with great interest by the court. He argued that the defendant was entitled to the relief sought, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to the discovery sought.

The court was divided in its decision, but the majority ruled in favor of the defendant. The decision was announced by Mr. Chief Justice Davis.

JOHN N. THOMPSON, Clerk.
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