WASHINGTON: July 10, 1850.

We are indebted to the Federal Republican for some additional information of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Washingt.ion: July 10.

Dr. John Thomas, a prominent apothecary of this city, died on July 9, aged 70 years. He was well known in this city for his skill in the treatment of diseases of the skin and for his extensive knowledge of the subject. He was a member of the American Medical Association, and was greatly respected for his integrity and kindness.

Several lectures on the subject of politics were delivered in this city this week. The first was delivered by a gentleman of some reputation, and was well attended. The second was delivered by a gentleman of some reputation, and was not well attended. The third was delivered by a gentleman of some reputation, and was well attended.

Washington: July 10.

COMMUNICATIONS.

WASHINGTON: July 10.

Several accounts of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Several reports were received this week of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Several reports were received this week of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Several reports were received this week of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Several reports were received this week of the movements of two or three Potomacists in the South who have of late been seen in the vicinity of New York, and who are frequently heard of as in the West or South. The former is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West. The latter is said to be a gentleman of some reputation, and to have been long a resident of New York, and to have been instrumental in numerous political movements in the West.

Community of people. The reader is warned to be careful in interpreting the accounts of these movements, as they are often unreliable. The accounts are often based on hearsay and rumor, and may not always be accurate. It is always wise to confirm the information with other sources before drawing any conclusions. The reader should also be aware that the accounts are often biased, and may not always represent the true state of affairs.
DEBATE ON THE TARIFF.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. CUMBERLAND.

Mr. CUMBERLAND said, that the measure on which the debate was now about to commence was of so great importance to his constituents as to claim the attention of the House. He was bound to say that he was opposed to any thing that would prejudice the interests of his constituents, and was therefore opposed to the general principle of the Tariff. He was also bound to say that he was opposed to the particular measure which the Committee had proposed. He had been one of those who supported the measure when it was brought forward, but he now found that the principle was not carried out in the manner in which it was intended. He was opposed to the Tariff because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHAW said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHAW then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then said, that he was opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of his constituents. He was also opposed to the measure because he believed it would be detrimental to the interests of the country at large. He was therefore bound to say that he was opposed to the measure.

Mr. SHELTON then proceeded to detail the reasons why he was opposed to the measure.
WASHINGTON, Tuesday, July 11.

The Central Club for the promotion of the candidate for President on Friday, although not the business of the meeting, took place on Friday evening, at which Mr. Pierce was nominated by acclamation. The nominations were made for Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner, for Vice-President, Mr. Lincoln and Mr. Seward. The convention was then adjourned.

Captain F. Case, of the United States, was elected to the Committee of detail on the nomination of the candidate for President by acclamation. The committee will undertake to secure the necessary signatures to the nomination of Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner.

Charles F. D. Averell, of the Congress, has been named by the committee on the nomination of Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner. He was called upon to report the action of the committee, and he stated that the committee had nominated Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner. He then introduced the nominations of Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner.

The nominations were read by the committee on the nomination of the candidate for President. The nominations were then referred to the Committee of detail on the nomination of the candidate for President, and the committee reported the nominations of Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner.

The nominations were then referred to the Committee of detail on the nomination of the candidate for President, and the committee reported the nominations of Mr. Pierce and Mr. Sumner.